

~~SECRET~~

The Director of Central Intelligence

• Washington, D.C. 20505

11 June 1985


National Intelligence Council

NOTE FOR: Herbert Meyer
Vice Chairman, National Intelligence Council

FROM: George Kolt
National Intelligence Officer for Europe

SUBJECT: NSSD on US Policy Toward Canada

25X1

 and I attended the IG meeting which started this process on 19 April 1985. Michael is currently participating in the working group that is developing sections 2 and 3 of the study. I expect that the entire study will be sent to all agencies for coordination and final approval will be given at the future meeting which Mike and I will attend.

STAT


George Kolt

~~secret~~

NIO/EUR

DATE: 10 JUNE '85

1. SUSPENSE: 20 JUNE

2. YOUR OFFICE HAS BEEN TASKED WITH ACTION ON THE ATTACHED BY:

☒ VC/NIC

☐ E/REGISTRY FOR O/DCI/DDCI

☐ EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

3. PLEASE PREPARE A RESPONSE:

☐ TO DCI/DDCI

☒ TO ORIGINATOR OF THE ATTACHED

☐ VIA VC/NIC

☐ FOR VC/NIC SIGNATURE

☐ FOR DCI/DDCI SIGNATURE

☐ FOR SIGNATURE BY CIA EXECUTIVE SECRETARY (MEMO TO: _____)

4. ☒ PLEASE INFORM O/VC/NIC (BARBARA OR SARA) WHEN THE ACTION IS COMPLETE AND REQUIRED MATERIALS HAVE BEEN FORWARDED TO THE EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FOR THE DCI/DDCI/EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

5. ☐ PLEASE PREPARE A COPY OF THE RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION FOR:

☒ VC/NIC

☐ NIO/ _____

6. ☐ TELEPHONE RESPONSE DIRECTLY TO: _____

* ACTION REQUIRED ONLY FOR PARAS CHECKED

PRIORITY**EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
ROUTING SLIP**

TO:

		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI		X		
3	EXDIR				
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI		X		
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC				
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/Pers				
14	D/OLL				
15	D/PAO				
16	SA/IA				
17	AO/DCI				
18	C/IPD/OIS				
19	NIO/EUR		X		
20	VC/NIC	X			
21	D/EUR/DI		X		
22					
SUSPENSE		Date			

Remarks

3637 (10-81)

Executive Secretary

10 Jun 85

Date

STAT

S/S 8516835

CIA



United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

Executive Registry

85- 043/7

June 1, 1985

CONFIDENTIAL

Interagency Group No. 52

TO:	OVP	Mr. Donald Gregg
	NSC	Mr. Robert Kimmitt
	Agriculture	Mr. Randy Russell
	CIA	
	Commerce	Mrs. Helen Robbins
	Defense	Col. David R. Brown
	Energy	Mr. William Vitale
	EPA	Mr. Fitzhugh Green
	Interior	Mr. Stephen Gleason
	NASA	Mr. Kenneth Pederson
	OMB	Mr. Alton Keel
	Treasury	Mr. Edward Stucky
	USIA	Mr. C. William LaSalle
	USTR	Mr. Dennis Whitfield

STAT

SUBJECT: Post-Quebec NSSD on US Policy Toward Canada

Attached is an NSC tasker for the post-Quebec NSSD on US-Canada relations. This copy is meant for agencies participating in the State Department-led foreign policy part of the study. As with the earlier study, Treasury will distribute copies to the Economic Council agencies.

Please note that the first submissions are due to the NSC on June 20. Therefore, IG-cleared drafts should be turned in to State (Attn: EUR/CAN, Carroll Brown, Room 5227) by COB June 18. State will then draft an Executive Summary to be forwarded together with the cleared papers to the NSC.

Nicholas Platt
 Nicholas Platt
 Executive Secretary

AttachmentCONFIDENTIAL

DECL: OADR

CONFIDENTIAL

June 3, 1985

MEMORANDUM FOR JAMES MEDAS
 Deputy Assistant Secretary
 Department of State

ROBERT CORNELL
 Deputy Assistant Secretary
 Department of the Treasury

STEVE ROSEN
 NSC

FROM: TYRUS W. COBB

SUBJECT: National Security Study on Canada

NSSD 1-85 directed that we undertake a comprehensive review of the overall relationship between the United States and Canada. We have completed the first phase of this review, including the production of a national security study focused on the March 17-18 summit between the President and Prime Minister Mulroney. In the second phase, we will produce another national security study oriented toward developing a strategy for the long-term management of Canadian-American relations. The study will be accompanied by a brief set of policy recommendations that will represent the substance of a National Security Decision Directive (NSDD) to be issued by the President.

The President was very appreciative of the excellent staff work that was accomplished prior to the Quebec trip, and was extremely pleased with the conduct of the two-day summit. Senior White House officials have remarked that the Quebec trip was one of the best prepared and managed Presidential visits. The interagency group charged with the responsibility of preparing the papers for the summit were singled out for praise for their policy analysis recommendations.

You have already initiated the IG process for the preparation of the long-range strategy paper, but I want to lay out a projected sequence of events and a timeframe that we should shoot for, a table of contents for the NSS, and some suggestions on the format of the strategy paper. I prefer that your IGs provide me with your draft study papers and policy recommendations which I will then integrate and synthesize. The comprehensive paper will then be returned to you for final review prior to submission to the SIGs. In this manner, we can obtain approval from both the

CONFIDENTIAL

2

SIG-PP and the EPC of the same document prior to submission to the President. Depending on the extent of interagency differences that arise, it may be necessary to hold an NSC meeting to discuss the final study and policy recommendations.

CONTENT

Your analysis should not repeat, but build on, the foundation laid in the excellent national security study produced in February. However, while the focus of that document was on the short-term, specifically the Quebec Summit, this study should be oriented on the development of a long-range strategy for the conduct of our relationship with Canada and the delineation of a tactical framework for the accomplishment of our objectives. I would not anticipate that the study itself and the policy recommendations will exceed fifteen pages in length. I have no objection to the inclusion of annexes relating to the various areas of concern, but I would ask that these be restricted to no more than three pages each. Additional supporting documentation should be available for reference and be maintained by the agencies concerned.

FORMAT

As I noted, the points of departure for this tasking are the papers prepared in the first pre-Quebec phase of this exercise and the documents issued and commitments undertaken at the Summit. The Executive Summaries of the documents coordinated by State and Treasury should thus represent a "snapshot" of where we are today in the bilateral relationship and should then lay out our objectives over the next few years. This should be followed by a strategy for the accomplishment of our goals and a tactical framework for the implementation of the strategy. Please keep in mind that the overall purpose of this study is to identify ways to continue the momentum achieved in Quebec and to lend continuity and predictability to the relationship.

Specifically, the papers prepared under each chapter should begin with a succinct description of where we are now on the issue ("Setting"), with any necessary explanatory information next under "Background." This should be followed by a list of specific policy objectives/goals ("U.S. Objectives") and a strategy/tactical framework ("Strategy") for the accomplishment of these goals. It may be useful to include a timetable delineating critical milestones.

TIMEFRAME

We are aiming for the accomplishment of the national security study with the draft policy recommendations by the first week of July. As such, I would suggest the following timetable:

CONFIDENTIAL

June 20 -- Submission of IG-approved study and policy recommendations to the NSC.

July 1 -- Comprehensive document returned for final IG review.

July 8 -- SIG approval of comprehensive paper.

July 15 -- NSC Meeting (if necessary).

July 25 -- NSDD issued.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Executive Summary (State)
- II. Political Situation and Foreign Policy (State)
 - A. Outlook for Mulroney Government
 - B. Limits to closer U.S.-Canada ties
 - C. Managing the relationships
 - D. Cooperation on global issues
- III. Security (DOD/State)
 - A. Canada's military posture
 - B. Defense trade and economic cooperation 1/
 - C. Renewal of NORAD
 - D. Canada's role in SDI
- IV. Technology Transfer (NSC)
 - A. Goals of present consultations
 - B. Adequacy of U.S. laws and regulations vis-a-vis Canada
- V. Economic Policy and Investment (Treasury)
 - A. Outlook for Canadian economy
 - B. Closer policy coordination?
 - C. Foreign investment controls
- VI. Trade (USTR)
 - A. Outlook for freer bilateral trade
 - B. Dealing with specific trade irritants
 - C. Cooperation on MTN
- VII. Energy (DOE/State)
 - A. Freer North American energy trade? 2/
 - B. Electricity imports

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- VIII. Environment (EPA/State)
 - A. Outlook for special envoys
 - B. Managing other environmental issues
- IX. Fisheries (State)
 - A. Remaining Pacific Coast problems
 - B. An East Coast agreement?
- X. Legal (State)
 - A. Resolution of remaining boundary disputes
 - B. Extraterritoriality
- XI. Public Diplomacy (USIA)
 - A. Popular images on both side of the border
 - B. Canadian cultural identify
 - C. Adequacy of present programming and staffing

-
- 1/ Defense offsets to be treated by EPC IG
 - 2/ Energy Investment issues to be treated by EPC IG

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL